

**PUBLIC COPY**

~~Identifying data deleted to  
prevent identity unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy~~



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

MAY 11 2004

FILE:

EAC 02 247 54226

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Hungary who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The director determined that the petitioner failed to establish that she is eligible for immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act. The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that she is a person of good moral character.

On appeal, counsel submits additional evidence.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen, who is a person of good moral character, who is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative, and who has resided with his spouse, may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates to the Attorney General that—

(aa) the marriage or the intent to marry the United States citizen was entered into in good faith by the alien; and

(bb) during the marriage or relationship intended by the alien to be legally a marriage, the alien or a child of the alien has been battered or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's spouse or intended spouse.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i) states, in pertinent part, that:

A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:

(A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;

(B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;

(C) Is residing in the United States;

(D) Has resided in the United States with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;

(E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been

the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;

(F) Is a person of good moral character; [and]

\* \* \*

(H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The record reflects that the petitioner initially entered the United States as a B-2 nonimmigrant visitor for pleasure on December 2, 1991. The record further reflects that the petitioner wed a United States citizen on March 6, 1995. The petitioner was placed in deportation proceedings and was granted voluntary departure on or before June 30, 1996. A warrant of deportation was issued on July 22, 1996. According to the evidence on the record, the petitioner last entered the United States as a B-2 nonimmigrant visitor on January 24, 2002. On July 20, 2002, the petitioner filed a self-petition claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her U.S. citizen spouse during their marriage.

The director denied the petition, finding that that the petitioner had failed to establish that she was a person of good moral character.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(F) requires the petitioner to establish that she is a person of good moral character.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner submits a police clearance from Loudon County, Virginia and a clearance from Hungary's Ministry of the Interior, and an affidavit of the petitioner.

In review, the evidence is sufficient to establish that the petitioner is a person of good moral character.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained.

**ORDER:** The appeal is sustained.